DIGITAL SOCIETY SCHOOL SYSTEMS FOR SHARING TRACK FALL 2020



INI KONG KRISTA AGBAYANI RICHIE ELIA SOFIA AQUINO GOMEZ

SUPERVISED BY YOUNGJI CHO SOBHI KHATIB



PROJECT AIM



Together with Digital Society School, Delfshaven Digital, De Middellander Het Wijkbedrijf and Stichting CAATS, project Open Up aim is to create a digital social net to connect residents in Middelland together. It is an innovative approach to community co-creation and strengthening camaraderie and sustainability in a community.

The project Open Up is aligned with the following Sustainable Development Goals set by the United Nations:

- Goal 9: Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure,
- Goal 10: Reduced Inequalities
- Goal 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities
- Goal 17: Partnership for the Goals

We hope to ensure that any progress made are enduring, palpable, and genuinely improve the quality of life of the residents.









To build community resilience and inclusivity using digital tools.

WHY?



The concept of community resilience is treated as a way to progress towards the objective of sustainable communities (Wright, 2016). And inclusion allows people with different identities to feel valued, leveraged, and welcomed within a given setting in the workplace, or neighbourhood (Keam, 2020). Thus, achievement of a resilient and inclusive community is creating a "community for all".

Ensuring community resilience and inclusivity can:

- Encourage community empowerment and autonomy
- Increase diversity and value all marginalized groups
- Enhance social learning and regulatory feedback
- Strengthen civil society organization to engage with governments and other stakeholders
- Bring active citizen engagement to disaster and climate risk management













DESIGN QUESTION

How to playfully bring residents together and create social interaction based on shared values & interests?





PROJECT TIMELINE

SPRINT



Assess current situation Understand the users Conduct Interviews

SPRINT 2



Define user groups Reframe the problem Co-creation sessions

SPRINT 3



Brainstorm features Use visual reference



SPRINT 4



Build lo-fi prototype Ideas into design





Revisit design Finalize features





With its 12.000 inhabitants, Middelland has a high population density when compared to the rest of Rotterdam. The neighborhood situated in the west of the city of Rotterdam. The area has both faces of the big, busy city and of a nice living area. After passing the city centre and few shopping streets, the neighbourhood gradually transforms into a rustic area with beautiful streets and lanes connecting the area.







AGE DEMOGRAPHY



The age demography of the neighborhood indicates that Middelland consists of relatively young population.

BACKGROUND OF RESIDENTS



Dutch Natives: 43.3% Non-Western Immigrants: 41.7% Western Immigrants: 15.0%

The majority of residents are Dutch natives and non-Western immigrants. Within non=Western immigrants, there are:

Antillen: 2.5% Marokko: 7% Suriname: 8.2% Turkije: 8.2% Others: 16%



PROPERTY TYPES



In Middelland, there are different property types ranging: 36% Social Housing, 31% Private-Rental, 31% Private-Owned and 2% Others.

LOCAL ACTIVITIES

The concept of co-creation is central in Middelland as residents, entrepreneurs and the municipality collaborate strengthen the social and together physical to of the neighbourhood. Various citizen characteristics initiatives in Middelland are created to bring people in the area closer together and to offer various activities and places to do so. There are 48 Houses in Rotterdam, and are managed and these Houses programmed by professional welfare organizations and also by residents.

In Middelland, *Huize Middelland*, is made by the Spoortuin , the Graaf Florisstraat , Care Free State , Recreation Center Oostervant , the Dierenlandje , the Wijkpaleis, Werkgroep Jongeren Middelland , Singeringen , Ettaouhid , Thuis in West , the Oranjerie and the BuurtAtelier. *Huize Middelland* works together with welfare parties Wmo Radar and Both , but also with the Neighborhood Team and the Center for Youth and Family.









ABCD APPROACH

Asset Based Community Development (ABCD) approach has been used as a means of social innovation towards community inclusion with more action oriented and community-based solutions. It is a bottom-up sustainable approach to a community's development that leverages the existing strengths, resources, skills of a community, and mobilizes the community (ABCD, 2016).



The first pillar of ABCD is **asset-based**, identifying various assets in a community, often through in person conversations with residents to create an asset map that includes local institutions: libraries, associations etc.

In addition, ABCD is **internally focused**, highlighting local definitions, creativity, and control. The residents have significant input into the nature and process of engagement activities in the neighborhood

The third piller of ABCD is **relationship-driven**, suggesting an emphasis on building relationships among residents, associations, and institutions (Gatti & Matterson, 2018). The ABCD can foster stronger links between residents and build connections with different groups.

E-ABCD

As the building of engaged citizens in the form of digital citizenship is rapidly becoming central to civic life, traditionally enacted face-to-face contexts is now appearing in digital spaces using the framework "e-ABCD," also known as electronic asset-based community development.

When instruction and service are both entirely online, it can have positive learning and community outcomes (Waldner et al., 2010). However, coordinating clear communication and effectively using technology to replicate ABCD approach in online spaces remain challenging as there needs to be a great effort to alter a sense of social disconnection and isolation in virtual space (Bourelle, 2014; Alexander, 2014).





SOCIAL CAPITAL

Social capital has been theorized as a major issue in local neighborhood participation. While a rich supply of social capital can encourage collaboration and cooperation between members of groups for their mutual benefit, the neighborhoods with low social capital are more vulnerable to disconnection, and can result in less social cohesion and community networks (Biggs, Carstensen, & Hogan, 2012).

The key ingredient that can develop social capital is trust as the level of trust can either act as enabler or barrier to successful and meaningful engagement within the local neighborhoods. Overtime, the participation of residents depends on how local initiatives build trust with one another and maintain the level of social capital that can lead to ongoing collaboration in neighborhoods.

Moreover, place attachment strengthens participation of local initiatives. Without this, the initiative faces challenges in bringing local community power and collective action. To add, the level of participation works best when it connects the direct, lived experience of a particular place with an understanding of the socio-economic forces that shape our lives. On the other hand, places with a history of failed plans and unpopular projects, local engagement is unlikely to be productive as many residents maintain their guard up when the public sector issues an invitation (Gosman & Botchwey, 2013).







METHODS

QUALITATIVE RESEARCH: Semi-Structured Interview

The team has contacted and spoken with leaders of Middellandbased initiatives and residents to understand behaviors and pain points within the community. The team believes that the most valuable data will come from the community itself, especially as they are guided by the ABCD approach. Meaningful insights can be generated from real people telling real stories. The main goal is to design for the residents, so they play the biggest role during the research phase.

QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH: Survey:

A survey paired well with the conversational object. A QR code on the conversational object was engraved via a laser cutter, which leads users to a survey. The aim is that the object sparks a conversation between residents and provides us with information on the residents themselves. Through the method it could provide more context to the qualitative research data and get a clearer view of neighborhood issues. By identifying the problems, it can kickstart the ideation on a solution. The outcome of the survey could arrive at a "How might we" question that can act as a catalyst.







RESEARCH INSIGHTS

From the interview data and desk research, our team has gathered several insights about Middelland and have divided into four different themes:

Engagement, Facilitation, Connection, Communication







1.ENGAGEMENT

The interviews of initiators and residents of Middelland have shown the different levels of engagement in the neighborhood. Although there is active engagement among the initiators of the neighborhood, the majority of residents have lack of engagement and do not necessarily take part in the neighborhood events.

Initiators create one size fits all solution for the neighborhood. Interviewee Cye

MWe work with paper To reach people that don't have access to the internet, we work with paper using posters and flyers. We try to engage people in different ways and this is important.

Interviewee Han

The findings have shown that people more tend to engage in activities when they can gain something out of them. The incentives vary, as there are different interests and goals, but incentives have a huge role in encouraging people to join.

Also, people gravitate to activities they can relate to. This can be language, interest, or the community. If there are activities around the neighborhood that match align with their needs and goals, then residents would be more interested to follow the activities and join.



2.FACILITATION

Another theme that emerged is facilitation. In Middelland, there are different Huizen in different parts of the streets where residents can use as meeting places. The facilitation of initiatives is crucial as it can bridge the connections between residents in the neighborhood. The initiators invest their own time and energy to facilitate activities. Thus, having efficient facilitation process with less paper work can assist initiators to reach diverse groups in the neighborhood. The community leadership is seen crucial and intermediary actors with shared visions can also provide wide social networks.

If there is facilitation from neighbors you know then you are more likely to come along to the event. Interviewee Sezer

Moreover, the residents feel less daunting and more approachable towards neighborhood activities when facilitation brings shared experience. The example of Polish Catholic church shows that if a Polish neighbor/colleague invites one of the residents to a Sunday dinner, then he or she is more likely to join the activity. To add, shared experience can increase the level of interaction among different residents.





3.CONNECTION

From the research findings, it was also crucial to establish certain level of trust before engaging in mutual reciprocity. Thus, the level of trust need to be built through time and networks of connection. With personal connections, people are more likely to join because then the entry level for a third person is fairly low, as that newcomer could already know someone within the community.

Since each neighborhood has its own unique context, the local issues can bring residents together. Having community voice can empower residents to be more engaged and take part in matters that affect their neighborhoods. With mutual connections established from shared experience and issues can further create support system and sense of belongingness within the neighborhood.

If you have a problem where you need a hammer. a vacuum cleaner, or moving furniture out of your house, then you just call your neighbor instead of a friend in the next city.

Interviewee Robert

In addition, the connection can strengthen as the residents go through continuation of mutual reciprocity that can be socially rewarding. For residents who have never met and interacted with each other, the act of reciprocity can bridge one another by doing something of value, and creating the the feeling that they are mutual and have their neighbors support at heart.







4.COMMUNICATION

The last theme from the findings is communication. Middelland has multiple decentralized information platforms that offer neighborhood news, and activities of local initiatives. Thus, there is no centralized information platform where residents and initiators can oversee the activities happening in Middelland. The multiple sources of information made people voluntarily look for the information on their own, and facilitators had to announce the activities of their initiatives multiple times on different platforms to promote the events.

You just can't keep up with the enormous amount of information, that you need to share on social media. I do Twitter. I do Facebook. I do Whatsapp and I do paper. But, still there's people who never heard about Spoortuin. Interviewee Philip

Further, the findings showed that the neighborhood is very localized with its own community issues and initiatives. Thus, it was important to develop community awareness through the use of centralized platforms. Since there is only a small number of residents who are active, it is important for the neighborhood to provide local context and information to the residents who are less active to provide transparency and keep them onboard. If the initial engagement takes much time and effort for people to communicate, then less people would be willing to be part of the neighborhood activities. The information of the neighborhood should be easily attainable and the process should not be complex.







AVATAR







The use of avatars offers playful elements to our prototype. The avatar can be customized to the user's liking and creates an informal way of engaging residents. To add, the use of avatars creates a safe space with no discrimination that one might experience when using a reallife picture.









VERIFICATION







In order to create a safe space, there is a verification process that requires your postal code and phone number. Each neighborhood has its own neighborhood code that provides location-specific features.









GROUP FINDER







Group finder allows residents to connect with others who have the same values and interests. This fosters a sense of belongingness and provides an opportunity for residents to find a use for their skills within their neighborhood.









INFORMATION SUPPORT







The map provides residents with up-todate activities that are happening in their neighborhood. In addition, collaboration with the Vraagbak offers a place of support for residents who have questions regarding the neighborhood.









REFERENCES

Aldrich, D. P., & Meyer, M. A. (2014). Social Capital and Community Resilience.AmericanBehavioralScientist,59(2),254-269.https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764214550299

Asset Based Community Development (ABCD). (2016). Nurture Development. https://www.nurturedevelopment.org/asset-based-community-development/ Eikenberry, Angela M. and Jodie Drapal Kluver (2004). The Marketization of the Nonprofit Sector: Civil Society at Risk? Public Administration Review, Vol. 64(2), 132-140

Epstein, William M. (2010). Romanticism, community and social services. Journal of Comparative Social Welfare, Vol. 26(2-3), 117-13

Fabricant, Michael and Robert Fisher (2002). Agency Based Community Building in Low Income Neighborhoods: A Praxis Framework. Journal of Community Practice, Vol. 10(2), 1–21.

Fiese, Barbara H. and Marlene Schwartz (2008). Reclaiming the Family Table: Mealtimes and Child Health and Wellbeing. Social Policy Report, Vol. 22(4), pp. 3-9, 13-18.

Green, Rosemary, Raeleen Gregory, and Robyn Mason (2006). Professional Distance and Social Work: Stretching the Elastic? Australian Social Work, Vol. 59(4), 449-461.

Héél véél informatie over Middelland. (n.d.). AlleCijfers.nl. Retrieved September 23, 2020, from https://allecijfers.nl/buurt/middelland-rotterdam/

Kramer S, S., Amos, T., Lazarus and Seedat M (2012) The philosophical assumption, utility and challenges of asset mapping approaches to community engagement. Journal of Psychology in Africa 22(4): 537–546.

Kretzmann JP and McKnight JL (1993) Building Communities from the Inside Out: A Path Toward Finding and Mobilizing a Community's Assets. Chicago, IL: Institute for PolicyResearch.

Leurs K (2014) Digital Throwntogetherness: Young Londoners Negotiating Urban Politics of Difference and Encounter on Facebook. Popular Communication 12(4): 251–265.







Motta W., Fatah gen Schieck, A., Schnädelbach, H., Kostopoulou, E., Behrens M., North, S. and Ye L (2013) Considering Communities, Diversity and the Production of Locality in the Design of Networked Urban Screens. In: Kotzé J, P, Marsden, G., Lindgaard, G., Wesson and Winckler M (eds), Human-Computer Interaction – INTERACT 2013, Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.

Motta W and Georgiou M (2016) 'Community through multiple connectivities: Mapping communication assets in multicultural London'. IN G. Aiello, M.Tarantino, and K.Oakley (eds.) Communicating the City. Peter Lang

Motta W., Fatah gen Schieck, A., Schnädelbach, H., Kostopoulou, E., Behrens M., North, S. and Ye L (2013) Considering Communities, Diversity and the Production of Locality in the Design of Networked Urban Screens. In: Kotzé J, P, Marsden, G., Lindgaard, G., Wesson and Winckler M (eds), Human-Computer Interaction – INTERACT 2013, Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.

Motta W and Georgiou M (2016) 'Community through multiple connectivities: Mapping communication assets in multicultural London'. IN G. Aiello, M.Tarantino, and K.Oakley (eds.) Communicating the City. Peter Lang.

Naughton L (2013) Geographical narratives of social capital: Telling different stories about the socio-economy with context, space, place, power and agency. Progress in Human Geography 38(1): 1–19.

O'Looney J (1998) Mapping Communities: Place-Based Stories and Participatory Planning. Journal of the Community Development Society 29(2). Talo, C., Mannarini, T., & Rochira, A. (2014). Sense of community and community participation: A meta-analytic review. Social Indicators Research, 117(1), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-013-0347-2

Schneider, Jo Ann (2004). The Role of Social Capital in Building Healthy Communities. Policy Paper produced for the Annie E. Casey Foundation

Shah, R. W., Selting Troester, J., Brooke, R., Gatti, L., Thomas, S. L., & Masterson, J. (2018). Fostering eABCD: Asset-Based Community Development in Digital Service-Learning. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 22(2), 189–221. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/1391







Talo, C., Mannarini, T., & Rochira, A. (2014). Sense of community and community participation: A meta-analytic review. Social Indicators Research, 117(1), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-013-0347-2

Townley, Greg and Bret Kloos (2009). Development of a Measure of Sense of Community for Individuals with Serious Mental Illness Residing in Community Settings. Journal of Community Psychology, Vol. 37(3), 362–380.

United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Sustainable Development. THE 17 GOALS | Sustainable Development. (n.d.). Retrieved November 15, 2020, from https://sdgs.un.org/goals

Yan, Miu Chung (2004). Bridging the Fragmented Community: Revitalizing Settlement Houses in the Global Era. Journal of Community Practice, Vol. 12(1/2), 51-69

